

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JALEN Z. et al.,	:	
	:	CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiffs,	:	NO. 13-4654
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
SCHOOL DISTRICT OF PHILADELPHIA,	:	
	:	
Defendant.	:	

O R D E R

AND NOW, this **15th** day of **May, 2015**, for the reasons stated in the accompanying memorandum opinion, it is hereby **ORDERED** as follows:

- (1) Plaintiffs' Motions for Judgment on the Supplemented Administrative Record (ECF Nos. 29, 38) are **GRANTED** as to the Pennsylvania Special Education Hearing Officer's ruling on interim pendency and **DENIED** as to the Hearing Officer's ruling on the adequacy of the individualized education program ("IEP");
- (2) Defendant's Motion for Judgment on the Administrative Record (ECF No. 31) is **GRANTED** as to the Hearing Officer's ruling on the adequacy of the IEP and **DENIED** as to the Hearing Officer's

ruling on interim pendency; and

(3) Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment is

GRANTED.

(4) The Court will separately schedule an evidentiary hearing to determine the amount of reimbursement to which Plaintiffs are entitled on their pendency claim.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Eduardo C. Robreno
EDUARDO C. ROBRENO, J.